



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/840,205 | 05/06/2004 | Christopher E. Banas | 6006-157 | 7254 |
| 7590 07/08/2009 ROSENBAUM & ASSOCIATES, P.C. Suite #380 650 Dundee Road Northbrook, IL 60062 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| GANESAN, SUBA | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3774 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 07/08/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/840,205

Applicant(s)

BANAS ET AL.

Examiner

SUBA GANESAN

Art Unit

3774

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUBA GANESAN.

(3) _____.

(2) J. PETER PAREDES.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Palmaz (WO 01/74274), Golcochea (5383927).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that Palmaz stent structure could not be combined with the graft teaching of Golcochea because the stent structure of Palmaz is expandable where the graft structure of Golcochea is not. Examiner argued that the teachings of Palmaz to use any known stent design include the undulating plastic structure of Golcochea.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Suba Ganesan/
Examiner, Art Unit 3774